Below find enclosed our responses to each editorial reviewer comment raised:

**Please do not write the representative result in the legend style. Please explain the Representative Results in the context of the technique you have described,** **e.g., how do these results show the technique, suggestions about how to analyze the outcome, etc. The paragraph text should refer to all of the figures. Data from both successful and sub-optimal experiments can be included.**

Thank you very much for this comment. We re-wrote the representative results section in the context of the technique that we described as the editorial reviewer requested.

1. **As we are a methods journal, please revise the Discussion to explicitly cover the following in detail in 3-6 paragraphs with citations:**

**a) Critical steps within the protocol**

**b) Any modifications and troubleshooting of the technique**

**c) Any limitations of the technique**

**d) The significance with respect to existing methods**

**e) Any future applications of the technique**

**Please ensure that you make different paragraphs for each of the points mentioned above.**

We re-organized our discussion according to the editorial reviewer’s suggestion

1. **Some parts of this can be moved to the representative result section.**

We moved parts of the discussion to the representative results section as requested.

1. **Please do not abbreviate journal titles.**

We added full journal titles as requested.